Quality Data Model (QDM) User Group Meeting |AGENDA/MEETING MINUTES

Meeting date | *4/30/2014 1:00 PM* | Meeting location | Webinar video link: *https://www4.gotomeeting.com/register/305755767*

Participants: Balasubramanyam, Balu; Barton, Cynthia; Bilik, Dori; Blake, Bridget; Bregman, Howard; Caputy, Michelle; Dardis, Michelle; Flanagan, Angela; Hall, Deb; Hamlin, Ben; Hammer, Jeffrey; Kemper, Nicole; Kennedy, Rosemary; McKay, Patti; Moesel, Chris; Metzger, Jane; Rankins, Stan; Smith, Anne; Stephens, Judi; Thompson, Casey; West, Ben

Agenda Item	Time/Presenter	Objective	Discussion/Options/Decisions	Comm*
Participants	1:00 Balu	Welcome participants		
April 16 Meeting Minute Review	1:00 Balu	Review discussion, decisions, and action items from previous meeting	The April 16th QDM User Group meeting topics were reviewed, and it was noted that the April 30th meeting is an out of cycle meeting scheduled to complete the April 16th meeting agenda.	

QDM Issue Review	1:05 Chris	QDM-46: Attributes for clarification or removal…continuation	Recommendations on attributes discussed during April 16th QDM User Group meeting are updated in the JIRA ticket.
			
			Two topics were discussed in follow-up:
			
			• Attributes "anatomical location approach," "anatomical location site" and "method" for Intervention (Performed, Recommended datatypes)
			   o The current definition of Intervention specifically excludes care involving direct physical contact with the patient. This definition does not seem to fit well with the use case discussed previously (applying sealant to a tooth in a dental procedure).
			   o Action: Discuss further with Julia Skapic to either recommend MU-3 measures use Procedure in these cases (see above), or modify the definition of Intervention to include these cases.
			• Attributes "patient preference" and "provider preference" for all applicable datatypes; Attribute "related to" for "Care Goal"
			   o Status update: QDM Management Team is in the process of contacting measure developers to confirm usage of these attributes in MU3 measures.	

	1:10 Chris	QDM-45: Application of one attribute to a QDM element for a single line of logic	Supporting multiple attributes on a single QDM data element was determined to pose challenges for HQFM R2.1. The QDM Management Team proposed the solution of no longer allowing more than one attribute to be specified on a single	

Agenda Item	Time/Presenter	Objective	Discussion/Options/Decisions	Comm*
			QDM data element. In most cases, the same logic can be accomplished through "satisfies all" or occurrences. With consensus of the QDM User Group, the proposed solution for QDM-45 was recommended to advance to the Measure Authoring Tool Change Control Board (MCCB) for review. No dissent was voiced.	
	1:15 Chris	QDM-47: Add more specific timing attributes for Medication, Order	Ambiguity for the attributes "start datetime" and "stop datetime" was discussed with regard to Medication, Order. The QDM Management Team proposed the solution of adding two new attributes: "signed datetime" and "active datetime." Discussion clarified that the attributes "start datetime" and "stop datetime" are not recommended for removal from the QDM, and the two newly proposed attributes pertain to Medication, Order only. Application of these attributes to other "Order" datatypes may be discussed in future user group meetings. With consensus of the QDM User Group, the proposed solution for QDM-47 was recommended to advance to the MCCB for review. No dissent was voiced.	
	1:25 Chris	QDM-50: Add "target outcome" attribute to Care Goal	MU3 measures in development require a QDM method to represent the desired outcome of a goal for Care Goal. The QDM Management Team proposed the solution of adding a "target outcome" attribute. With consensus of the QDM User Group, the proposed solution for QDM-50 was recommended to advance to the MCCB for review. No dissent was voiced.	
	1:30 Chris	QDM-48: New standard element to represent the concept of a provider receiving a referral request	MU3 measures in development require a QDM method to distinguish between sent and received referrals and to indicate the recipient as the provider or practice being measured. The QDM Management Team sought feedback on two solutions in development: 1) add attributes to Provider Communication, and 2) introduce a placeholder in the measure for provider or practice identifiers to be referenced at a measure's execution. The QDM Management Team will continue to develop solutions for QDM User Group input.	
	1:40 Chris	QDM-49: Enhance the relationships within the eMeasure specification standards to enable linking of two data elements based on one of their components	MU3 measures in development require a QDM method to link consultant reports to referrals received in the QDM logic. Determining how to link elements in a relationship, in general, was raised as an issue for the QDM. The QDM Management Team will continue additional discussion with measure stewards requesting this concept and present proposed solutions to the QDM User Group for input.	

Agenda Item	Time/Presenter	Objective	Discussion/Options/Decisions	Comm*
Next steps	1:50 Chris		No issues were raised for discussion by the QDM User Group. The next meeting is Wednesday, May 21st.	

Action Items	Assigned To	Due Date	Status
Bring QDM-45, QDM-47, and QDM-50 to the MCCB.	QDM Management Team	July, 2014	Not started
Continue developing proposals for QDM-48 and QDM-49.	QDM Management Team	May 21, 2014	In progress
Determine applicability of dental procedures to the Intervention category.	QDM Management Team	May 21, 2014	In progress
Continue to contact measure developers to confirm use of attributes "patient preference" and "provider preference" for all applicable datatypes, and the attribute "related to" for Care Goal.	QDM Management Team	May 21, 2014	In progress